arXiv:1512.08886v1 [math.AP] 30 Dec 2015

β-admissibility of observation and control operators for hypercontractive semigroups

Birgit Jacob[*], Jonathan R. Partington[†], Sandra Pott[‡], and Andrew Wynn[§]

January 16, 2016

Abstract

We prove a Weiss conjecture on β-admissibility of control and observation operators for discrete and continuous γ-hypercontractive semigroups of operators, by representing them in terms of shifts on weighted Bergman spaces and using a reproducing kernel thesis for Hankel operators. Particular attention is paid to the case $\gamma = 2$, which corresponds to the unweighted Bergman shift.

Keywords: Admissibility; semigroup system; dilation theory; Bergman space; hypercontraction; reproducing kernel thesis; Hankel operator
2010 Subject Classification: 30H10, 30H20, 47B32, 47B35, 47D06, 93B28

1 Introduction

We study infinite dimensional observation systems of the form

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{x}(t) &= Ax(t), \quad y(t) = Cx(t), \quad t \geq 0, \\ x(0) &= x_0 \in X, \end{aligned}$$

where A is the generator of a strongly continuous semigroup $(T(t))_{t\geq 0}$ on a Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$ and C is a linear bounded operator from $D(A)$, the domain of A equipped with the graph topology, to another Hilbert space $\mathcal{Y}$. For the well-posedness of the system with respect to the output space $L^2_\beta(0,\infty;\mathcal{Y}) := \{f : (0,\infty) \to \mathcal{Y} \mid f \text{ measurable}, \|f\|^2_\beta := \int_0^\infty \|f(t)\|^2 t^\beta \, dt < \infty\}$ it is required that C is an *β-admissible observation operator* for A, that is, there exists an $M > 0$ such that

$$\|CT(\cdot)x_0\|_{L_\beta(0,\infty;\mathcal{Y})} \leq M\|x_0\|_{\mathcal{H}}, \qquad x_0 \in D(A).$$

It is easy to show that β-admissibility implies the resolvent condition

$$\sup_{\lambda\in\mathbb{C}_+} (\operatorname{Re}\lambda)^{\frac{1+\beta}{2}} \|C(\lambda - A)^{-(1+\beta)}\| < \infty \tag{1}$$

[*]Fachbereich C - Mathematik und Naturwissenschaften, Bergische Universität Wuppertal, Gaußstraße 20, 42119 Wuppertal, Germany. jacob@math.uni-wuppertal.de

[†]School of Mathematics, University of Leeds, Leeds LS2 9JT, UK. j.r.partington@leeds.ac.uk

[‡]Faculty of Science, Centre for Mathematical Sciences, Lund University, 22100 Lund, Sweden. sandra@maths.lth.se

[§]Department of Aeronautics, Imperial College London, London, SW7 2AZ, UK. a.wynn@imperial.ac.uk

where $\mathbb{C}_+$ denotes the open right half plane of $\mathbb{C}$. Whether or not the converse implication holds is commonly referred to as a *weighted Weiss conjecture*. For $\beta = 0$ the conjecture was posed by Weiss [22]. In this situation the conjecture is true for contraction semigroups if the output space is finite-dimensional, for right-invertible semigroup and for bounded analytic semigroups if $(-A)^{1/2}$ is 0-admissible. However, in general the conjecture is not true. We illustrate this in Figure 1.


dim $\mathcal{Y} < \infty$
dim $\mathcal{Y} \leq \infty$
$(T(t))_{t\geq 0}$ contraction semigroup [11]
$(T(t))_{t\geq 0}$ analytic & bounded semigr. and $(-A)^{1/2}$ 0-admissible [16]
$(T(t))_{t\geq 0}$ right-invertible semigroup [22]
• Counterexample in general [13]
• Counterexample in general [12]

Figure 1: Weighted Weiss conjecture: Case $\beta = 0$

For $\beta \neq 0$, there is much less known. In the situation $\beta < 0$, the weighted Weiss conjecture is true for bounded analytic semigroups if $(-A)^{1/2}$ is 0-admissible [8], but in general the weighted Weiss conjecture does not hold [24]. If $\beta > 0$, then the weighted Weiss conjecture is true for normal contraction semigroups and for the right-shift on $L^2_{-\alpha}(0,\infty)$ for $\alpha > 0$ if the the output space is finite-dimensional, and for bounded analytic semgroups if $(-A)^{1/2}$ is 0-admissible, see Figure 2. Again, in general the conjecture is not true. In Theorem 4.4 we show that the weighted Weiss conjecture holds if the dual of the cogenerator T^* of the semigroup $(T(t))_{t\geq 0}$ is γ-hypercontractive for some $\gamma > 1$. The proof is based on the fact that γ-hypercontractions are unitarily equivalent to the restriction of the backward shift to an invariant subspace of a weighted Bergman space, the Cayley transform between discrete-time and continuous-time systems and that the weighted Weiss conjecture holds for the backward shift to an invariant subspace of a weighted Bergman space [10]. In order to apply the results of [10] we first have to extend them to the vector-valued Bergman spaces.
Due to the fact that C is a β-admissible observation operator for $(T(t))_{t\geq 0}$ if and only if C^* is a $(-\beta)$-admissible control operator for $(T^*(t))_{t\geq 0}$, where $\beta \in (-1,1)$, the resolvent growth conditions for β-admissible control operators can be derived from those of $(-\beta)$-admissible observation operators.
Beside continuous-time systems we also prove a discrete-time version of the Weiss conjecture. For $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$, $E \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{U},\mathcal{H})$ and $F \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H},\mathcal{Y})$ we consider the discrete time linear systems:

$$x_{n+1} = Tx_n + Eu_{n+1}, \quad y_n = Fx_n \qquad \text{with} \quad x_0 \in \mathcal{H} \tag{2}$$

and $u_n \in \mathcal{U}$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Here, $\mathcal{H}$ is the state space, $\mathcal{U}$ the input space and $\mathcal{Y}$ is the output space



Figure 2: Weighted Weiss conjecture: Case $\beta > 0$

of the system.
Let $\beta > -1$. By $\ell^2_\beta(\mathcal{U})$ we denote the sequence space

$$\ell^2_\beta(\mathcal{U}) := \{\{u_n\}_n \mid u_n \in \mathcal{U} \text{ and } \|\{u_n\}_n\|^2_\beta := \sum_{n=0}^{\infty}(1+n)^\beta |u_n|^2 < \infty\}.$$

Clearly, $\ell^2_\beta(\mathcal{U})$ equipped with the norm $\|\cdot\|_\beta$ is a Hilbert space. Following [8] and [23], we say that F is a *β-admissible observation operator for T*, if there exists a constant $M > 0$ such that

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}(1+n)^\beta \|FT^n x\|^2 \leq M\|x\|^2$$

for every $x \in \mathcal{H}$.
To test whether a given observation operator is β-admissible, a frequency-domain characterization is convenient and, to this end, it is not difficult to show that β-admissibility of F for T implies the resolvent growth condition

$$\sup_{z\in\mathbb{D}}(1-|z|^2)^{\frac{1+\beta}{2}}\|F(\mathbf{1}-\bar{z}T)^{-\beta-1}\|_{\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H},\mathcal{Y})} < \infty, \tag{3}$$

where $\mathbb{D}$ is the open unit disc.
The question of whether the converse statement holds, commonly referred to as a (weighted) Weiss conjecture, is much more subtle. For $\beta = 0$, the conjecture is true if T is a contraction and the output space $\mathcal{Y}$ is finite-dimensional [9]. It was shown by [24, 23] that for T a normal contraction and finite-dimensional output spaces the weighted Weiss conjecture holds for positive β, but not in the case $\beta \in (-1, 0)$. Moreover, the weighted Weiss conjecture holds if T is a Ritt operator and a contraction for $\beta > -1$ [17], but it is not true for general contractions if $\beta > 0$, see [25]. Recently, in [10] it was shown that the Weiss conjecture holds for the forward shift on weighted Bergman spaces. One aim of this paper is to show the Weiss conjecture for adjoint operators of γ-hypercontractions. We obtain a characterisation

of β-admissibility, $\beta > 0$, with respect to γ-hypercontractions ($\gamma > 1$) by characterising β-admissibility with respect to the shift operator on vector-valued weighted Bergman spaces. It is shown in [10] that in the case of a scalar-valued Bergman space, β-admissibility with respect to the shift operator can be characterised by the resolvent growth bound (3). We extend this analysis to the vector-valued setting.

We proceed as follows. In Section 2 we introduce and study γ-hypercontractive operators and γ-hypercontractive strongly continuous semigroups. In particular, γ-hypercontractions are unitarily equivalent to the restriction of the backward shift to an invariant subspace of a weighted Bergman space. Section 3 is devoted to the weighted Weiss conjecture for discrete-time systems. We first extend the result of [10] concerning the shift operator on a scalar-valued Bergman space to the vector-valued setting and then we prove that the weighted Weiss conjecture holds for $\beta > 0$ if T^* is a γ-hypercontraction for some $\gamma > 1$. Finally, in Section 4 positive results concerning the weighted Weiss conjecture for continuous-time systems are given.

2 γ-hypercontractions

Let $\mathcal{H}$ be a Hilbert space. For $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$, we define

$$M_T : \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}) \to \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}), \quad M_T(X) = T^*XT.$$

Definition 2.1 ([2], [4])**.** *Let $\mathcal{H}$ be a Hilbert space and let $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$, $\|T\| \leq 1$. Let $\gamma \geq 1$. We say that T is a* γ-hypercontraction*, if for each $0 < r < 1$,*

$$(\mathbf{1} - M_{rT})^{\gamma}(I) \geq 0.$$

Note that the left hand side in the definition is well-defined in the sense of the usual holomorphic functional calculus, since $\sigma(\mathbf{1} - M_{rT}) \subset \mathbb{C}_+$. A 1-hypercontraction is of course just an ordinary contraction. If T is a normal contraction, then it is easy to show by the usual continuous functional calculus that T is also a γ-hypercontraction for each $\gamma \geq 1$. Moreover, all strict contractions are γ-hypercontractions, as the next result shows.

Theorem 2.2. *Let $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$ with $\|T\| < 1$. Then T is a γ-hypercontraction for sufficiently small $\gamma > 1$.*

Proof: Suppose that $\|T\| < 1$. Then $\|M_T\| < 1$, and $\sigma(\mathbf{1} - M_T)$ is bounded away from the negative real axis, so an analytic branch of the logarithm exists on some open set $\Omega \supseteq \sigma(\mathbf{1} - M_T)$. For $\gamma \geq 1$, define $f_\gamma(z) = \exp(\gamma \log z)$, analytic on Ω.

Now $f_\gamma(z) \to z$ uniformly for z in compact subsets of Ω, and therefore $f_\gamma(\mathbf{1} - M_T)$, defined by the analytic functional calculus, converges to $\mathbf{1} - M_T$ in the norm on $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}))$ (see, e.g., [5, Thm. 3.3.3]).

Hence, in particular, $(\mathbf{1} - M_T)^{\gamma}(I) \to (\mathbf{1} - M_T)(I) = I - T^*T$ in norm in $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$ as $\gamma \to 1$.

Since $\|T\| < 1$, $\sigma((\mathbf{1} - M_T)(I))$ is strictly contained in the positive real axis, and thus for sufficiently small $\gamma > 1$ the spectrum of $(\mathbf{1} - M_T)^{\gamma}(I)$ is also strictly contained in the positive real axis, by continuity properties of the spectrum (see, e.g., [5, Thm. 3.4.1]).

Hence $(\mathbf{1} - M_T)^{\gamma}(I) \geq 0$ for all γ sufficiently close to 1, and so T is a γ-hypercontraction. ■

If $n \in \mathbb{N}$, then equivalently, $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$ is an n-hypercontraction if and only if

$$\sum_{k=0}^{m} (-1)^k \binom{m}{k} T^{*k} T^k \geq 0$$

for all $1 \leq m \leq n$.
In particular, a Hilbert space operator T is *2-hypercontractive* if it satisfies

$$I - T^*T \geq 0$$

(that is, it is a contraction), and also

$$I - 2T^*T + T^{*2}T^2 \geq 0. \tag{4}$$

Note, that for $1 < \mu < \gamma$, the γ-hypercontractivity property implies μ-hypercontractivity.
We are particularly interested in γ-hypercontractive operators as they are unitarily equivalent to the restriction of the backward shift to an invariant subspace of a weighted Bergman space, which we now define.

Definition 2.3. *Let $\mathbb{D}$ denote the open unit disk in the complex plane $\mathbb{C}$. For $\alpha > -1$, the* weighted Bergman space $\mathcal{A}^2_\alpha(\mathbb{D}, \mathcal{K})$, *where $\mathcal{K}$ is a Hilbert space, contains of analytic functions $f : \mathbb{D} \to \mathcal{K}$ for which*

$$\|f\|^2_\alpha = \int_{\mathbb{D}} \|f(z)\|^2 dA_\alpha(z) < \infty, \tag{5}$$

where $dA_\alpha(z) = (1+\alpha)(1-|z|^2)^\alpha dA(z)$ and $dA(z) := \frac{1}{\pi} dxdy$ is area measure on $\mathbb{D}$ for $z = x + iy$. We note that the norm $\|f\|_\alpha$ is equivalent to

$$\left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \|f_n\|^2 (1+n)^{-(1+\alpha)} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \tag{6}$$

where f_n are the Taylor coefficients of f.

For each $\alpha > -1$, let S_α denote the shift operator on the weighted Bergman space $A^2_\alpha(\mathbb{D}, \mathcal{K})$,

$$S_\alpha f(z) = zf(z) \quad (f \in A^2_\alpha(\mathbb{D}, \mathcal{K}))$$

The following theorem is a special case of Corollary 7 in [4]. For the case of integer γ, this was proved in [2].

Theorem 2.4. *Let $\alpha > -1$. Let $\mathcal{H}$ be a Hilbert space and let $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$ be an $\alpha + 2$-hypercontraction with $\sigma(T) \subset \mathbb{D}$. Then T is unitarily equivalent to the restriction of S^*_α to an invariant subspace of $A^2_\alpha(\mathbb{D}, \mathcal{K})$, where $\mathcal{K}$ is a Hilbert space.*

Next we introduce the concept of γ-hypercontractive semigroups.

Definition 2.5. *Let $(T(t))_{t\geq 0}$ be a strongly continuous contraction semigroup on a Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$, with infinitesimal generator A. We call a C_0-semigroup $(T(t))_{t\geq 0}$* γ-hypercontractive *if each operator $T(t)$ is a γ-hypercontraction.*

In the following we assume that $(T(t))_{t\geq 0}$ is a strongly continuous contraction semigroup on a Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$, with infinitesimal generator A. As in [21], the *cogenerator* $T := (A+I)(A-I)^{-1}$ exists, and is itself a contraction. Rydhe [20] studied the relation between γ-hypercontractivity of a strongly continuous contraction semigroup and its cogenerator. He proved that T is γ-hypercontractive if every operator $T(t)$, $t \geq 0$, is γ-hypercontractive. Conversely, if every operator $T(t)$, $t \geq 0$, is N-hypercontractive for some $N \in \mathbb{N}$, then T is

N-hypercontractive. However, by means of an example, Rydhe [20] showed that for general γ-hypercontractivity this reverse implication is false. Clearly, if A generates a contraction semigroup of normal operators, then the cogenerator of $(T(t))_{t\geq 0}$ is γ-hypercontractive for each $\gamma \geq 1$.
In particular 2-hypercontractivity can be characterized as follows, see [20]. For completeness we include a more elementary proof, which also yields additional information.

Proposition 2.6. *Let $(T(t))_{t\geq 0}$ be a strongly continuous contraction semigroup acting on a Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$. Then the following statements are equivalent.*

1. *$(T(t))_{t\geq 0}$ is 2-hypercontractive.*
2. *The function $t \mapsto \|T(t)x\|^2$ is convex for all $x \in H$.*
3. $$\mathrm{Re}\langle A^2 y, y\rangle + \|Ay\|^2 \geq 0 \qquad (y \in \mathcal{D}(A^2)). \tag{7}$$
   or equivalently,
   $$\|(A + A^*)x\|^2 + \|Ax\|^2 \geq \|A^* x\|^2 \qquad (y \in \mathcal{D}(A) \cap \mathcal{D}(A^*)).$$
4. *The cogenerator T is a 2-hypercontraction.*

Proof We first prove that Part 1 and Part 2 are equivalent. Take $t \geq 0$ and $\tau > 0$. If $T(\tau)$ is a 2-hypercontraction, then, by (4) we have

$$\langle T(t)x, T(t)x\rangle - 2\langle T(t+\tau)x, T(t+\tau)x\rangle + \langle T(t+2\tau)x, T(t+2\tau)x\rangle \geq 0,$$

or

$$\|T(t+\tau)x\|^2 \leq \frac{1}{2}\left(\|T(t)x\|^2 + \|T(t+2\tau)x\|^2\right), \tag{8}$$

which is the required convexity condition.
Conversely, the convexity condition (8) implies that $T(\tau)$ is a 2-hypercontraction (take $t = 0$).
Next we show that Part 2 are Part 3 equivalent. For $t > 0$ and $y \in \mathcal{D}(A^2)$ we calculate the second derivative of the function $g : t \mapsto \|T(t)y\|^2$.

$$g'(t) = \frac{d}{dt}\langle T(t)y, T(t)y\rangle = \langle AT(t)y, T(t)y\rangle + \langle T(t)y, AT(t)y\rangle.$$

Similarly,

$$g''(t) = \langle A^2 T(t)y, T(t)y\rangle + 2\langle AT(t)y, AT(t)y\rangle + \langle T(t)y, A^2 T(t)y\rangle.$$

If g is convex, then letting $t \to 0$ gives the condition (7).
Conversely, the condition (7) gives the convexity of $t \to \|T(t)y\|^2$ for $y \in \mathcal{D}(A^2)$, and by density this holds for all y.
Finally we show the equivalence of Part 3 and Part 4. We start with the condition (7) and calculate

$$\langle (I - 2T^*T + T^{*2}T^2)x, x\rangle$$

for $x = (A - I)^2 y$ (note that $(A - I)^{-2} : H \to H$ is defined everywhere and has dense range).

We obtain

$$\begin{aligned}&\langle (A-I)^2y,(A-I)^2y\rangle - 2\langle (A^2-I)y,(A^2-I)y\rangle + \langle (A+I)^2y,(A+I)^2y\rangle\\ &\quad = 4\langle A^2y,y\rangle + 8\langle Ay,Ay\rangle + 4\langle y,A^2y\rangle \geq 0.\end{aligned}$$

Thus condition (7) holds if and only if the cogenerator T is 2-hypercontractive. ■

Thus every normal contraction semigroup is 2-hypercontractive. Moreover, even every hyponormal contraction semigroup is 2-hypercontractive. Note, that a semigroup is hyponormal if the generator A satisfies $D(A) \subset D(A^*)$ and $\|A^*x\| \leq \|Ax\|$ for all $x \in D(A)$, see [14, 18]. Clearly, a C_0-semigroup $(T(t))_{t\geq 0}$ is contractive if and only if the adjoint semigroup $(T^*(t))_{t\geq 0}$ is contractive. Unfortunately, a similar statement does not hold for 2-hypercontractions: The right shift semigroup on $L^2(0,\infty)$ is 2-hypercontractive, but the adjoint semigroup, the left shift semigroup on $L^2(0,\infty)$ is not.

3 Discrete-time β-admissibility

Let $\mathcal{H}$, $\mathcal{U}$, $\mathcal{Y}$ be Hilbert spaces, $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$, $E \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{U},\mathcal{H})$ and $F \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H},\mathcal{Y})$. Consider the discrete time linear system:

$$x_{n+1} = Tx_n + Eu_{n+1}, \quad y_n = Fx_n \qquad \text{with} \quad x_0 \in \mathcal{H} \tag{9}$$

and $u_n \in \mathcal{U}$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$.
Following [8] and [23], we say that F is a β-admissible observation operator for T, if there exists a constant $M > 0$ such that

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}(1+n)^{\beta}\|FT^nx\|^2 \leq M\|x\|^2$$

for every $x \in \mathcal{H}$. Moreover, we say that E is a β-admissible control operator for T, if there exists a constant $M > 0$ such that

$$\left\|\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}T^nEu_n\right\|_{\mathcal{H}} \leq M\|\{u_n\}_n\|_{\beta}$$

for every $\{u_n\}_n \in \ell^2_{\beta}(\mathcal{U})$.

Remark 3.1. *Let $x \in \mathcal{H}$ and $\{y_n\}_n \in \ell^2_{\beta}(\mathcal{Y})$. Then the calculation*

$$\begin{aligned}|\langle \{FT^nx\}_n, \{y_n\}_n\rangle_{\beta\times -\beta}| &= \left|\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\langle FT^nx, y_n\rangle_{\mathcal{Y}}\right|\\ &= \langle x, \sum_{n=0}^{\infty}(T^*)^nF^*y_n\rangle_{\mathcal{H}}\end{aligned}$$

implies that F is a β-admissible observation operator for T if and only if F^* is a $(-\beta)$-admissible control operator for T^*.

A characterisation of β-admissibility with respect to γ-hypercontractions ($\gamma > 1$) may be obtained by characterising β-admissibility with respect to the shift operator on vector-valued weighted Bergman spaces, as defined in Definition 2.3.
It is shown in [10] that in the case of a scalar-valued Bergman spaces, β-admissibility with respect to S_α can be characterised by the resolvent growth bound (3). This result was obtained by noting that β-admissibility is equivalent to boundedness of an appropriate little Hankel operator, while (3) is equivalent to boundedness of the same Hankel operator on a set of reproducing kernels. That such Hankel operators satisfy a Reproducing Kernel Thesis (boundness on the reproducing kernels is equivalent to operator boundedness) is equivalent to the characterisation of β-admissibility by the growth condition (3).

To extend this analysis to the vector-valued setting, let $\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{Y}$ be Hilbert spaces and consider an analytic function $C : \mathbb{D} \to \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{Y})$ given by

$$C(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} C_n z^n, \qquad z \in \mathbb{D},$$

where $C_n \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{Y})$, for each n. We write $L^2_\alpha(\mathbb{D}, \mathcal{K})$ for the space of measurable functions $f : \mathbb{D} \to \mathcal{K}$ satisfying (5). We also write

$$\overline{A^2_\alpha}(\mathbb{D}, \mathcal{K}) = \{z \mapsto g(\overline{z}) : g \in A^2_\alpha(\mathbb{D}, \mathcal{K})\}.$$

The little Hankel operator $h_C : A^2_{\beta-1}(\mathbb{D}, \mathcal{K}) \to \overline{A^2_\alpha}(\mathbb{D}, \mathcal{Y})$ acting between weighted Bergman spaces is defined by

$$h_C(f) := \overline{P_\alpha}(C(\overline{\iota})f(\iota)), \qquad f \in A^2_{\beta-1}(\mathbb{D}, \mathcal{K}), \tag{10}$$

where $\overline{P_\alpha} : L^2_\alpha(\mathbb{D}, \mathcal{K}) \to \overline{A^2_\alpha}(\mathbb{D}, \mathcal{K})$ is the orthogonal projection onto the anti-analytic functions and $\iota(z) = z, z \in \mathbb{D}$. The following result links β-admissibility with little Hankel operators of the form (10).

Proposition 3.2. *Let $\alpha > -1$ and $\beta > 0$. Let $\mathcal{K}$, $\mathcal{Y}$ be Hilbert spaces. Given $F \in \mathcal{L}(A^2_\alpha(\mathbb{D}, \mathcal{K}), \mathcal{Y})$, define bounded linear operators $F_n \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{Y})$ by*

$$F_n x = F(x\iota^n), \qquad x \in \mathcal{K}, n \in \mathbb{N},$$

and symbols $C : \mathbb{D} \to \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{Y})$, $\tilde{C} : \mathbb{D} \to \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{K})$ by

$$C(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (1+n)^\alpha F_n z^n, \qquad \tilde{C}(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (1+n)^\alpha F_n^* z^n.$$

The following conditions are equivalent:

(i) The resolvent condition (3) holds with $T = S_\alpha$ and $\mathcal{H} = A^2_\alpha(\mathbb{D}, \mathcal{K})$;

(ii) The Hankel operator $h_{\tilde{C}} : A^2_{\beta-1}(\mathbb{D}, \mathcal{Y}) \to \overline{A^2_\alpha}(\mathbb{D}, \mathcal{K})$ satisfies

$$\sup_{\omega \in \mathbb{D}, \|y\|_{\mathcal{Y}}=1} \|h_{\tilde{C}} k^{\beta-1}_{\omega,y}\|_{\overline{A^2_\alpha}(\mathbb{D},\mathcal{K})} < \infty,$$

where

$$k^{\beta-1}_{\omega,y}(z) := y \frac{(1-|\omega|^2)^{\frac{1+\beta}{2}}}{(1-\bar{\omega}z)^{1+\beta}}, \qquad z, \omega \in \mathbb{D},\ y \in \mathcal{Y},$$

are the normalized reproducing kernels for $A^2_{\beta-1}(\mathbb{D}, \mathcal{Y})$;

(iii) The Hankel operator $h_C : A^2_{\beta-1}(\mathbb{D},\mathcal{K}) \to \overline{A^2_\alpha}(\mathbb{D},\mathcal{Y})$ *satisfies*

$$h_C \in \mathcal{L}(A^2_{\beta-1}(\mathbb{D},\mathcal{K}), \overline{A^2_\alpha}(\mathbb{D},\mathcal{Y}));$$

(iv) F *is* β*-admissible for* S_α *on* $A^2_\alpha(\mathbb{D},\mathcal{K})$.

Proof $(i) \Leftrightarrow (ii)$ follows directly from a vectorial analogue of [10, Proposition 2.3 (ii)].

$(ii) \Rightarrow (iii)$ Note first that [10, Theorem 2.7] extends to the vector-valued setting to imply that $h_{\tilde{C}} : A^2_{\beta-1}(\mathbb{D},\mathcal{Y}) \to \overline{A^2_\alpha}(\mathbb{D},\mathcal{K})$ is bounded. An alternative characterisation of boundedness little Hankel operators can be given in terms of generalized Hankel matrices of the form

$$\Gamma^{a,b}_\Phi := \Big((1+m)^a(1+n)^b\Phi_{n+m}\Big)_{m,n\geq 0}$$

where $a,b>0$ and $\Phi : \mathbb{D} \to \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}_1,\mathcal{H}_2)$ is given by $\Phi(z) = \sum_{n\geq 0}\Phi_n z^n$, for some Hilbert spaces $\mathcal{H}_1,\mathcal{H}_2$. In particular, the vectorial analogue of [10, Proposition 2.3 (i)] implies that

$$h_{\tilde{C}} \in \mathcal{L}\left(A^2_{\beta-1}(\mathbb{D},\mathcal{Y}), \overline{A^2_\alpha}(\mathbb{D},\mathcal{K})\right) \Longleftrightarrow \Gamma^{\frac{\beta}{2},\frac{1+\alpha}{2}}_{\tilde{C}} \in \mathcal{L}(\ell^2(\mathcal{Y}),\ell^2(\mathcal{K})). \tag{11}$$

Now, it is shown in [19, Theorem 9.1] that

$$\Gamma^{\frac{\beta}{2},\frac{1+\alpha}{2}}_{\tilde{C}} \in \mathcal{L}(\ell^2(\mathcal{Y}),\ell^2(\mathcal{K})) \Leftrightarrow \tilde{C} \in \Lambda_{\frac{1+\alpha+\beta}{2}}\left(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{Y},\mathcal{K})\right). \tag{12}$$

Here, for $s>0$ and a Banach space X, $\Lambda_s(X)$ is the Besov space containing functions $f \in L^\infty(\mathbb{D},X)$ for which

$$\sup_{\tau\in\mathbb{T},\tau\neq 1}\frac{\|\Delta^n_\tau f\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{D},X)}}{|1-\tau|^s} < \infty, \qquad \Big((\Delta_\tau f)(\xi) := f(\xi\tau) - f(\tau),\ \Delta^n_\tau := \Delta_\tau\Delta^{n-1}_\tau\Big),$$

for some integer $n > s$. It follows immediately that $C \in \Lambda_{\frac{1+\alpha+\beta}{2}}\left(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{K},\mathcal{Y})\right)$ and hence, by (11) and (12), that

$$h_C \in \mathcal{L}(A^2_{\beta-1}(\mathbb{D},\mathcal{K}), \overline{A^2_\alpha}(\mathbb{D},\mathcal{Y})).$$

$(iii) \Leftrightarrow (iv)$: The vectorial analogue of [10, Proposition 2.1] implies that (iv) holds if and only if $\Gamma^{\frac{1+\alpha}{2},\frac{\beta}{2}}_C \in \mathcal{L}(\ell^2(\mathcal{K}),\ell^2(\mathcal{Y}))$. By (11), boundedness (iii) of the little Hankel operator h_C is equivalent to $\Gamma^{\frac{\beta}{2},\frac{1+\alpha}{2}}_C \in \mathcal{L}(\ell^2(\mathcal{K}),\ell^2(\mathcal{Y}))$. That (iii) and (iv) are equivalent then follows from [19, Theorem 9.1] and the fact that $\alpha > -1, \beta > 0$.

$(iv) \Rightarrow (i)$ is well known. See, for example, [25]. ∎

Theorem 3.3. *Let* $\beta > 0$. *Let* $\mathcal{H}$, $\mathcal{Y}$ *be Hilbert spaces and let* $T^* \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$ *be a* γ*-hypercontraction for some* $\gamma > 1$. *Let* $F \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H},\mathcal{Y})$. *Then the following are equivalent:*

1. F *is a* β*-admissible observation operator for* T.
2. $$\sup_{z\in\mathbb{D}}(1-|z|^2)^{\frac{1+\beta}{2}}\|F(\mathbf{1}-\bar{z}T)^{-\beta-1}\|_{\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H},\mathcal{Y})} < \infty.$$

Proof The implication (1) $\Rightarrow$ (2) follows as usual from the testing on fractional derivatives of reproducing kernels. For (2) $\Rightarrow$ (1), write $K = \sup_{z\in\mathbb{D}}(1-|z|^2)^{\frac{1+\beta}{2}}\|F(\mathbf{1}-\bar{z}T)^{-\beta-1}\|_{\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H},\mathcal{Y})}$ and let us first replace T by rT for some $0<r<1$. Write $\gamma = 2+\alpha$. By Theorem 2.4, $(rT)^*$ is the restriction of S_α^* to the invariant subspace $\mathcal{H} \subset A^2_\alpha(\mathbb{D},\mathcal{K})$. Extend F trivially to $A^2_\alpha(\mathbb{D},\mathcal{K})$ by letting $F=0$ on $\mathcal{H}^\perp \subset A^2_\alpha(\mathbb{D},\mathcal{K})$. Then $F^*y \in \mathcal{H}$ for all $y\in\mathcal{Y}$. Then for each $z\in\mathbb{D}$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\|F(\mathbf{1}-\bar{z}S_\alpha)^{-\beta-1}\|_{\mathcal{L}(A^2_\alpha(\mathbb{D},\mathcal{K}),\mathcal{Y})} &= \sup_{h\in A^2_\alpha(\mathcal{K}),\|h\|=1}\|F(\mathbf{1}-\bar{z}S_\alpha)^{-\beta-1}h\|_{\mathcal{Y}} \\
&= \sup_{h\in A^2_\alpha(\mathcal{K}),\|h\|=1}\sup_{y\in\mathcal{Y},\|y\|=1}|\langle(\mathbf{1}-\bar{z}S_\alpha)^{-\beta-1}h, F^*y\rangle| \\
&= \sup_{h\in A^2_\alpha,\|h\|=1}\sup_{y\in\mathcal{Y},\|y\|=1}|\langle h,(\mathbf{1}-zS_\alpha^*)^{-\beta-1}F^*y\rangle| \\
&= \sup_{h\in A^2_\alpha,\|h\|=1}\sup_{y\in\mathcal{Y},\|y\|=1}|\langle h,(\mathbf{1}-z(rT)^*)^{-\beta-1}F^*y\rangle| \\
&= \sup_{h\in \mathcal{H},\|h\|=1}\sup_{y\in\mathcal{Y},\|y\|=1}|\langle h,(\mathbf{1}-z(rT)^*)^{-\beta-1}F^*y\rangle| \\
&= \|F(\mathbf{1}-\bar{z}rT)^{-\beta-1}\|_{\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H},\mathcal{Y})} \\
&\le K\frac{1}{(1-|rz|^2)^{\frac{1+\beta}{2}}} \\
&\le K\frac{1}{(1-|z|^2)^{\frac{1+\beta}{2}}}. \qquad (13)
\end{aligned}$$

Hence, by Proposition 3.2, F is an β-admissible observation operator for S_α.

Thus there exists a constant M such that for each $x\in\mathcal{H}$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{n=0}^\infty (1+n)^\beta\|F(rT)^nx\|^2_{\mathcal{Y}} &= \sum_{n=0}^\infty(1+n)^\beta\sup_{y\in\mathcal{Y},\|y\|=1}|\langle(rT)^nx,F^*y\rangle|^2_{\mathcal{Y}} \\
&= \sum_{n=0}^\infty(1+n)^\beta\sup_{y\in\mathcal{Y},\|y\|=1}|\langle x,((rT)^n)^*F^*y\rangle|^2 \\
&= \sum_{n=0}^\infty(1+n)^\beta\sup_{y\in\mathcal{Y},\|y\|=1}|\langle x,(S_\alpha^n)^*F^*y\rangle|^2 \\
&= \sum_{n=0}^\infty(1+n)^\beta\sup_{y\in\mathcal{Y},\|y\|=1}|\langle S_\alpha^nx,F^*y\rangle|^2 \\
&= \sum_{n=0}^\infty(1+n)^\beta\|FS_\alpha^nx\|^2_{\mathcal{Y}} \le M\|x\|^2
\end{aligned}$$

Here, the constant M depends only on K, α and β, but not on r. It therefore follows easily from the Monotone Convergence Theorem that

$$\sum_{n=0}^\infty(1+n)^\beta\|FT^nx\|^2_{\mathcal{Y}} \le M\|x\|^2 \qquad (x\in\mathcal{H})$$

and F is a β-admissible observation operator for T. ∎

By duality we obtain the following result.

Theorem 3.4. *Let $\beta \in (-1,0)$. Let $\mathcal{H}$, $\mathcal{U}$ be Hilbert spaces and let $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$ be a γ-hypercontraction for some $\gamma > 1$. Let $E \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{U},\mathcal{H})$. Then the following are equivalent:*

1. *E is a β-admissible control operator for T.*
2. $$\sup_{z\in\mathbb{D}}(1-|z|^2)^{\frac{1+\beta}{2}}\|(\mathbf{1}-\bar{z}T)^{-\beta-1}E\|_{\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H},\mathcal{Y})} < \infty.$$

Remark 3.5. *Theorem 3.3 in particular shows Wynn's result [23] for β-admissibility of normal discrete contractive semigroups, also for infinite-dimensional output space.*

4 Continuous-time β-admissibility

We consider a continuous-time control system of the form

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{x}(t) &= Ax(t)+Bu(t), \quad x(0)=x_0,\, t\geq 0,\\ y(t) &= Cx(t),\, t\geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Here A is the generator of a C_0-semigroup $(T(t))_{t\geq 0}$ on a Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$. Writing $\mathcal{H}_1 = D(A)$ and $\mathcal{H}_{-1} = D(A^*)^*$, we suppose that $B \in L(\mathcal{U},\mathcal{H}_{-1})$ and $C \in L(\mathcal{H}_1,\mathcal{Y})$, where $\mathcal{U}$ and $\mathcal{Y}$ are Hilbert spaces as well.

Definition 4.1. *Let $\beta > -1$.*

1. *B is called a* β-admissible control operator for $(T(t))_{t\geq 0}$, *if there exists a constant $M > 0$ such that*
$$\left\|\int_0^\infty T(t)Bu(t)\,dt\right\| \leq M\|u\|_{L^2_\beta(0,\infty;\mathcal{U})}$$
for every $u \in L^2_\beta(0,\infty;\mathcal{U})$.
2. *C is called a* β-admissible observation operator for $(T(t))_{t\geq 0}$, *if there exists a constant $M > 0$ such that*
$$\int_0^\infty t^\beta\|CT(t)x\|^2\,dt \leq M\|x\|^2_{\mathcal{H}}$$
for every $x \in \mathcal{H}_1$.

Remark 4.2. Similarly as for discrete-time systems it can be shown for $\beta \in (-1,1)$ that B is a β-admissible control operator for $(T(t))_{t\geq 0}$ if and only if B^* is a $(-\beta)$-admissible observation operator for $(T^*(t))_{t\geq 0}$.

The following result is proven in [25, Propositions 2.1 and 2.2] for $\beta \in (0,1)$. The trivial extension to the case $\beta > 0$ is given for completeness. For $\alpha > -1$ we write $A^2_\alpha(\mathbb{C}_+)$ for the Bergman space on the right half-plane corresponding to the measure $x^\alpha\,dx\,dy$.

Proposition 4.3. *Let $\beta > 0$. Suppose that A generates a contraction semigroup on $\mathcal{H}$ and that $C \in \mathcal{L}(D(A),\mathcal{Y})$. Define the cogenerator $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$ by $T := (I+A)(I-A)^{-1}$ and $F := C(I-A)^{-(1+\beta)} \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H},\mathcal{Y})$. Then the following statements hold.*

1. *C is a β-admissible observation operator for $(T(t))_{t\geq 0}$ if and only if F is a β-admissible observation operator for T.*

2. *The resolvent condition* (3) *for (F,T) holds if and only if*

$$\sup_{\lambda\in\mathbb{C}_+}(Re\,\lambda)^{\frac{1+\beta}{2}}\|C(\lambda-A)^{-(1+\beta)}\|<\infty.$$

Proof 1. F is β-admissible for T if and only if $\Lambda : A^2_{\beta-1}(\mathbb{D})\to\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H},\mathcal{Y})$ defined initially on reproducing kernels by $\Lambda f = Ff(T)$ extends to a bounded linear operator. On the other hand, C is β-admissible for A if and only if $\tilde{\Lambda} : A^2_{\beta-1}(\mathbb{C}_+)\to\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H},\mathcal{Y})$ defined initially on reproducing kernels by $\tilde{\Lambda}(g) = Cg(-A)$ extends to a bounded linear operator. That the two conditions are equivalent follows from the fact that for any $\beta>0$ there is an isomorphism $J_\beta : A^2_{\beta-1}(\mathbb{D})\to A^2_{\beta-1}(\mathbb{C}_+)$ for which $\Lambda = \tilde{\Lambda}\circ J_\beta$ holds on each reproducing kernel.

2. Follows directly from the identities

$$D(I-\bar{z}T)^{-(1+\beta)} = \frac{CR\left(\frac{1-\bar{z}}{1+\bar{z}},A\right)^{1+\beta}}{(1+\bar{z})^{1+\beta}},\qquad z\in\mathbb{D}$$

and

$$\mathrm{Re}\left(\frac{1-z}{1+z}\right)|1+z|^2 = (1-|z|^2),\qquad z\in\mathbb{D}.$$

■

Our main theorems concerning continuous-time systems are as follows.

Theorem 4.4. *Let $\beta>0$. Let $(T(t))_{t\geq 0}$ be a contraction semigroup on $\mathcal{H}$ such that the adjoint of the cogenerator T^* is γ-hypercontractive for some $\gamma>1$. Then the following are equivalent:*

1. *C is β-admissible observation operator for $(T(t))_{t\geq 0}$.*

2. $$\sup_{\lambda\in\mathbb{C}_+}(Re\,\lambda)^{\frac{1+\beta}{2}}\|C(\lambda-A)^{-(1+\beta)}\|<\infty.$$

Proof The statement of the theorem follows from Proposition 4.3 together with Theorem 3.3. ■

Remark 4.5. *T^* is γ-hypercontractive if every operator $T^*(t)$, $t\geq 0$, is γ-hypercontractive. If A generates a contraction semigroup of normal operators, then the adjoint of the cogenerator of $(T(t))_{t\geq 0}$ is γ-hypercontractive for each $\gamma\geq 1$, see Section 2.*

By duality we obtain the following result.

Theorem 4.6. *Let $\beta\in(-1,0)$. Let $(T(t))_{t\geq 0}$ be a contraction semigroup on $\mathcal{H}$ such that the cogenerator T is γ-hypercontractive for some $\gamma>1$. Then the following are equivalent:*

1. *B is β-admissible control operator for $(T(t))_{t\geq 0}$.*

2.

$$\sup_{\lambda\in\mathbb{C}_+} (Re\,\lambda)^{\frac{1+\beta}{2}} \|(\lambda - A)^{-(1+\beta)} B\| < \infty.$$

Theorems 4.4 and 4.6 give positive results for $\beta > 0$ and adjoints of γ-hypercontractions in the case of observation operators, and for $\beta < 0$ and γ-hypercontractions in the case of control operators. The remaining possibilities for $\beta \in (-1, 0) \cup (0, 1)$ can be shown not to hold by means of various counterexamples. For $\beta \in (-1, 0)$ the counterexample for normal semigroups given in [24] shows that there is no positive result for observation operators in either the γ-hypercontractive or adjoint γ-hypercontractive case. For $\beta \in (0, 1)$, there is a counterexample in [24] based on the unilateral shift, which is 2-hypercontractive, see Figure 3. By Remark 4.2, these provide appropriate counterexamples for control operators as well.

	T γ-hypercontr. for some $\gamma > 1$	T^* γ-hypercontr. for some $\gamma > 1$
$\beta \in (-1, 0)$	Counterexample [24]	Counterexample [24]
$\beta \in (0, 1)$	Counterexample [24]	Conjecture holds by Theorem 4.4

Figure 3: Weighted Weiss conjecture

References

[1] J. Agler, The Arveson extension theorem and coanalytic models. *Integral Equations Operator Theory* 5 (1982), no. 5, 608–631.

[2] J. Agler, Hypercontractions and subnormality. *J. Operator Theory* 13 (1985), no. 2, 203–217.

[3] A. Aleman and O. Constantin, Hankel operators on Bergman spaces and similarity to contractions. *Int. Math. Res. Not.* 2004, no. 35, 1785–1801.

[4] C.-G. Ambrozie, M. Engliš and V. Müller, Operator tuples and analytic models over general domains in $\mathbb{C}^n$. *J. Operator Theory* 47 (2002), no. 2, 287–302.

[5] B. Aupetit, *A primer on spectral theory*. Universitext. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1991.

[6] W.S. Cohn and I. E. Verbitsky, Factorization of tent spaces and Hankel operators. *J. Funct. Anal.* 175 (2000), no. 2, 308–329.

[7] O. Constantin, Weak product decompositions and Hankel operators on vector-valued Bergman spaces. *J. Operator Theory* 59 (2008), no. 1, 157–178.

[8] B. Haak and C. Le Merdy, α-admissibility of observation and control operators. *Houston J. Math.* 31 (2005), no. 4, 1153–1167.

[9] Z. Harper, Applications of the discrete Weiss conjecture in operator theory. *Integral Equations Operator Theory* 54 (2006), no. 1, 69–88.

[10] B. Jacob, E. Rydhe and A. Wynn, The weighted Weiss conjecture and reproducing kernel theses for generalized Hankel operators. *J. Evol. Equ.* 14 (2014), no. 1, 85–120.

[11] B. Jacob and J.R. Partington, The Weiss conjecture on admissibility of observation operators for contraction semigroups. *Integral Equations Operator Theory* 40 (2001), no. 2, 231–243.

[12] B. Jacob, J.R. Partington and S. Pott, Admissible and weakly admissible observation operators for the right shift semigroup. *Proc. Edinburgh Math. Soc.* 45 (2002), 353–362.

[13] B. Jacob and H. Zwart, Counterexamples concerning observation operators for C_0-semigroups. *SIAM J. Control Optim.* 43 (1) (2004), 137–153.

[14] J. Janas, On unbounded hyponormal operators. *Ark. Mat.* 27 (1989), no. 2, 273–281.

[15] J.R. Partington and G. Weiss, Admissible observation operators for the right-shift semigroup. *Math. Control Signals Systems* 13 (2000), 179–192.

[16] C. Le Merdy, The Weiss conjecture for bounded analytic semigroups. *J. Lond. Math. Soc.*, 67 (3) (2003), 715–738.

[17] C. Le Merdy, α-admissibility for Ritt operators. *Complex Anal. Oper. Theory*, 8 (2014), 665–681.

[18] S. Ōta and K. Schmüdgen, On some classes of unbounded operators. *Integral Equations Operator Theory* 12 (1989), no. 2, 211–226.

[19] V.V. Peller, *Hankel Operators and Their Applications*. Springer-Verlag New York, Inc. (2003).

[20] E. Rydhe, An Agler model theorem for C_0-semigroups of Hilbert space contractions. Submitted, 2015.

[21] B. Sz.-Nagy, C. Foias, H. Bercovici and L. Kérchy, *Harmonic analysis of operators on Hilbert space*. Second edition. Revised and enlarged edition. Universitext. Springer, New York, 2010.

[22] G. Weiss, Two conjectures on the admissibility of control operators. *Estimation and control of distributed parameter systems (Vorau, 1990)*, 367–378, Internat. Ser. Numer. Math., 100, Birkhäuser, Basel, 1991.

[23] A. Wynn, α-admissibility of observation operators in discrete and continuous time. *Complex Anal. Oper. Theory* 4 (2010), no. 1, 109–131.

[24] A. Wynn, Counterexamples to the discrete and continuous weighted Weiss conjectures, *SIAM J. Control Optim.*, 48 (4) (2009), 2620–2635.

[25] A. Wynn, α-admissibility of the right-shift semigroup on $L^2(R_+)$. *Systems Control Lett.* 58 (2009), no. 9, 677–681.

[26] K. Zhu, *Spaces of holomorphic functions in the unit ball*. Graduate Texts in Mathematics, 226. Springer-Verlag, New York, 2005.